Unlocking Sustainable Farming with Solution4Farming (S4F)

Climate change, driven by carbon emissions from sectors including agriculture and livestock practices, endangers farming and food supply. Solution4Farming offers a resilient, eco-friendly agricultural future.

Navigating the challenges of climate change, S4F adopts a three-pronged strategy: innovating in fertilizer technologies, empowering farmers with intelligent decision tools, and endorsing circular farming practices. Below, we spotlight recent outcomes and advancements from S4F's projects in these key areas.

- Innovative Fertilizers: Developing new kinds of fertilizers and technologies that both feed our crops and protect our earth by reducing unwanted emissions and effectively managing resources.
 - o Solution4Farming is committed to revolutionize sustainable farming by developing innovative fertilizers, leveraging methodologies from recent research in converting waste to viable fertilizers through anaerobic digestion and waste valorization (<u>Research Paper 1</u>, <u>Research Paper 2</u>). These approaches not only offer effective waste management solutions but also pave the way for reducing emissions and efficiently managing resources, aligning with S4F's objectives to foster sustainable farming practices.
- Intelligent Decision-Making: Establishing a Decision Support System that navigates through the complexity of farm management activities and guides in mitigating environmental impacts.
 - S4F's DSS harnesses comprehensive farm data, blending it with advanced models and real-time sensors. The platform, as showcased in the picture below, offers a holistic view of GHG emissions, breakdowns like enteric fermentation, and metrics per capita and crop type. This intuitive system is designed to arm farmers with the knowledge needed for sustainable and informed decisions.

×	Compare with other farms		=
Go to • Home • Greenhouse Gas Emissions Calculator • Emmisions Dashboard • Pollution Dashboard	Select Submission		
	Submission: 25, 2023-09-08 13:14:07		~
	GHG/Capita vs. Average	Waste/Capita	Water/Capita
	Idirect CH4 - Ent.,, × Direct CH4 - Man.,, × Direct N2O × Indirect N2O × Leaching N2O × Electricity × Gas ×	1.00 ↑ Average = 0.82m ³	8.97 ↑ Average = 4.76m ³
	Gasoline/Petrol × Biogas × Soil Direct N2O × Soil Indirect N2O × Diesel ×	Soli Indirect N2O × Emissions Composition vs. Average	
	Congratulations! You are emitting less than other farms on average in submissssion ID:	Livestock Emissions Breakdown by Livestock category Process 	
	GHG emissions/capita distribution among population GHG emissions/capita for SubmissionID: 25: 18.57 Histogram Denity	Average	NQO keaching Electric O146 Enteric NQO direct NQO direct NQO loss NQO loss Sail direct NADO Sail direct NADO Sail Greet NADO
	Average GHG emissions/capita for other farms: 420.30	35.7% 50.2% 2.3% 2.3%	Gashina Gashina Cr44 Kanure Management Biogas
	0 -2000 -1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 GHG/capita (Tonnes CO2eq)	0.5500- 0.339%- 0.00669%- 0.00649%- 0.00013%- 0%-	

- **Boosting Circularity:** Offering management options that increase the reuse and recycling of resources within the farming system, optimizing the balance and synergy between livestock and crop production.
 - o Recent results (<u>Research Paper 3</u>) from the S4F project unveil how life cycle assessment (LCA) could be used to understand the flow from off-farm inputs to on-farm processes and products. Highlighting the potential of mixed farming systems (MFS) from the Romanian pilot, it underscores the importance of a holistic LCA approach. Instead of focusing solely on individual products, the assessment emphasizes the interconnectedness of crops and livestock, aiming for a comprehensive environmental impact evaluation.



Website link

solution4farming project (agile.ro)

Authors

Mihaela Balanescu, Beia Consult International (BEIA) Adrian Pasat, Beia Consult International Yolanda Laurent, Beia Consult International Razvan Popa, University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest (USAMV), Dana Popa, University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest (USAMV), Katarzyna Chojnacka, Wrocław University of Science and Technology (WUST), Krzysztof Trzaska, Wrocław University of Science and Technology (WUST), Mateusz Samoraj, Wrocław University of Science and Technology (WUST), Dawid Skrzypczak, Wrocław University of Science and Technology (WUST), Belen Gallego Elvira, The Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena (UPCT), Saker Ben Abdallah, The University of Applied Sciences (KAMK), Antti Kuoppala, Kajaani University of Applied Sciences (KAMK), Outi Laatikainen, Kajaani University of Applied Sciences (KAMK),